

2020

Heart Rate and Rating of Perceived Exertion During High-Intensity Interval Training: Implications for Prescribing Intensity

Emily R. Dunston

Eastern Washington University, emilydunston@eagles.ewu.edu

Alan Coelho

Eastern Washington University, acoelho@ewu.edu

Katie Taylor

Eastern Washington University, ktaylor31@ewu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.ewu.edu/srcw_2020_posters



Part of the [Exercise Science Commons](#), and the [Psychology of Movement Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Dunston, Emily R.; Coelho, Alan; and Taylor, Katie, "Heart Rate and Rating of Perceived Exertion During High-Intensity Interval Training: Implications for Prescribing Intensity" (2020). *2020 Symposium Posters*. 28.

https://dc.ewu.edu/srcw_2020_posters/28

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the 2020 Symposium at EWU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020 Symposium Posters by an authorized administrator of EWU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jotto@ewu.edu.

Rating of perceived exertion should be used in combination with heart rate when prescribing intensity for HIIT

Heart Rate and Rating of Perceived Exertion During High-intensity Interval Training: Implications of Prescribing Intensity

Emily R. Dunston B.S., Alan J. Coelho Ed.D. & Katrina Taylor Ph.D.

Department of Wellness and Movement Sciences
Eastern Washington University, Cheney, WA

Introduction

High-intensity interval training (HIIT) has become a popular time efficient alternative to traditional moderate-intensity continuous training¹. However, current exercise prescription of HIIT often involves monitoring heart rate or workload which may limit the accessibility of this training modality².

Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) has been utilized as a practical way to prescribe exercise intensity, as RPE has been positively associated with physiological markers of intensity³.

Little research has investigated the relationship among RPE and physiological markers of intensity, such as heart rate, during HIIT. Therefore, the efficacy of using RPE to prescribe intensity for HIIT is relatively unknown.

Purpose

To determine heart rate and RPE responses across a bout of HIIT, as well as examine the relationships between heart rate and RPE.

Methods




Figure 1. Study design schematic.



Figure 2. Incremental exercise test protocol schematic. Warm-up and cool-down (black) at 50 watts. Resistance increased 1 watt every 3 seconds (red) until the participant was unable to maintain a cadence of 50 rpm.




Figure 3. High-intensity interval training protocol schematic. Ten 1-min work intervals cycling at 80% peak power output (red) interspersed with active rest at 20% peak power output (grey). RPE was measured at the end of the interval.

Differences in heart rate and RPE across the HIIT session were analyzed using one-way repeated measures ANOVAs. Relationships were assessed using Pearson correlations.

All data were analyzed in SPSS v.25.0 with an alpha level set at 0.05.

Results

Table 1. Baselines participant characteristics (n = 16).

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range
Age (years)	21.8	± 1.4	20.0 – 25.0
Height (cm)	164.1	± 10.5	138.0 – 184.0
Weight (kg)	68.7	± 10.0	52.7 – 87.0
Body Mass Index (kg·m ⁻²)	25.6	± 3.8	19.0 – 33.4
VO ₂ Peak (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	40.4	± 8.3	29.0 – 58.0
Peak Power Output (watts)	225.3	± 42.0	152.0 – 321.0

Note: VO₂Peak = peak oxygen consumption.




Figure 4. Study sample characteristics.




Figure 5. Heart rate across a single bout of high-intensity interval training. *Significantly different from interval 5 and 10, p < 0.05.




Figure 6. Rating of perceived exertion across a single bout of high-intensity interval training. *Significantly different from interval 5 and 10, p < 0.05.

Table 2. Correlation between heart rate and rating of perceived exertion.

Time Point	r	p
Interval 1	-0.33	0.21
Interval 5	-0.34	0.19
Interval 10	-0.07	0.80
Average	0.37	0.16

Conclusions

Heart rate and RPE both significantly increased across a HIIT session.

Heart rate and RPE were not significantly related across the HIIT session.

If RPE is used to prescribed intensity for HIIT, it may beneficial to use it in combination with another method to monitor intensity, such as heart rate.

Future research may be beneficial to investigate the use of heart rate and RPE to prescribe exercise intensity in long-term, real-world HIIT intervention studies.

References

- Thompson, W. R. (2018). Worldwide survey of fitness trends for 2019. *ACSM's Health & Fitness Journal*, 22(6), 10-17.
- Noble, B. J., Borg, G. A., Jacobs, I., Ceci, R., & Kaiser, P. (1983). A category-ratio perceived exertion scale: Relationship to blood and muscle lactates and heart rate. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 15(6), 532-528.
- Taylor, J. L., Holland, D. J., Spathis, J. G., Beetham, K. S., Wisloff, U., Keating, S. E., & Coombes, J. S. (2019). Guidelines for the delivery and monitoring of high intensity interval training in clinical populations. *Progress in Cardiovascular Disease*, 62(2), 140-146. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2019.01.004

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Department of Wellness and Movement Sciences for the use of the Jack R. Leighton Human Performance Laboratory during this project. We would also like to thank the American College of Sports Medicine Northwest chapter for the generous research grant which made this project possible.



Author contact:
emilydunston@eagles.ewu.edu
@DunstonEmily



Scan to
access
abstract



EASTERN
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY